

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1460
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 8101-03140 9476 10/706,712 11/12/2003 Brendan J. McCarthy **EXAMINER** 7590 01/11/2005 BRINKLEY, MCNERNEY, MORGAN, BROWN, PETER R SOLOMON & TATUM, LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER KEVIN P. CROSBY, ESQ. 200 E. LAS OLAS BLVD 3636 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,712	MCCARTHY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Peter R. Brown	3636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			SS
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/706,712

Art Unit: 3636

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Damsch in view of Sobieralski.

Damsch (figs. 1,2) show structure similar to that claimed, including a connector 4 between the first and second mattresses to limit the lateral and vertical movement thereof when in a stacked relationship. While Damsch does not show a tube interconnected between the mattresses, the patent to Sobieralski (figs. 1,5,6) teaches the use of an external fluid connector or "tube" 47,75,76 that extends between various inflatable interconnected components of a seating support to equalize pressure between the components. In view of this suggestion, to have provided the first and second mattresses of Damsch with a connecting fluid tube, to thereby equalize the pressure between them, would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art. The type of connector utilized between the mattresses is considered a matter of design choice and obvious mechanical expediency, as is the type of fluid within the mattresses.

The assembly and use of the above structure would appear to encompass the method steps as set forth.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,712

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Damsch and Sobieralski as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Kojic et al.

To have provided the back cushion of Damsch with integral armrests, for additional comfort, would have been an obvious modification in view of the suggestion of Kojic et al (fig. 1).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pertchik and Anthony show various features of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 703-308-2103. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toly free).

Peter R. Brown Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636